

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,672	10/10/2000	Yasir Skeiky	014058-009041US	2671
-	590 03/29/2002	•		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	EXAMINER			
EIGHTH FLOOR			SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/688,672	SKEIKY ET AL.					
and a community	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAII ING DATE of this area	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication even if timely liked.							
-		,,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-115</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-115 are subject to restriction and/or e	8) Claim(s) 1-115 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing (a) but the discussion (b) but the drawing (b)							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
The state of the s							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 119(a) (to a remain							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO_893)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PT05) Notice of Informal Paten 6) Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action Summer							

Application/Control Number: 09/688,672

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, 105-115, drawn to amino acid antigens, classified in class 424, subclass 248.1.
 - II. Claims 29-55, drawn to nucleic acid molecules, classified in class 536, subclass 23.7.
 - III. Claims 56-79, drawn to method of immunizing using amino acid antigens, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
 - IV. Claims 80-104, drawn to method of immunizing using nucleic acids, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, IV are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules. Invention I is drawn to amino acids, Inventions II, IV are drawn to nucleic acids.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the amino acid antigens of Invention I can be used *in vitro* for diagnosis of infection with *Mycobacterium*.

Application/Control Number: 09/688,672

Art Unit: 1645

Invention II and III are drawn to structurally and functionally distinct molecules. Invention II is drawn to nucleic acids, Invention III is drawn to amino acids.

Invention II and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of Invention II can be used *in vitro* for diagnosis of infection with *Mycobacterium*.

Invention III and IV are drawn to patentably distinct methodologies which utilized different reagents.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because while the searches may overlap, the searches are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Election of Species

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Chose one of the antigens listed in claim 18.

Chose one of the adjuvants listed in claim 25

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/688,672

Art Unit: 1645

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-4244. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (703)308-3909. The facsimile telephone number

for the Art Unit Group is (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

ODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

Art Unit 1645

March 28, 2002